

Brevogel teaches a polishing pad that includes a plurality of circular macrogrooves 47 (Col. 5, lines 4-6). Figures 1, 2, 4 and 6 show that the macrogrooves have substantially the same width and pitch.

Brevogel does not teach or suggest a polishing pad with polishing regions that include substantially circular concentric grooves having different widths or pitches. The Examiner noted that the grooves in the polishing pad of Brevogel may be divided into two or more regions. However, Brevogel discloses macrogrooves having a generally uniform width and pitch (see, Figures 1, 2, 4 and 6). Brevogel provides no motivation or suggestion for making the width or pitch of the macrogrooves in one polishing region different from those in another polishing region. Although Brevogel (Figures 4 and 6) teaches smaller grooves superimposed on the macrogrooves, these microgrooves are arranged radially in order to provide fluid communication among the macrogrooves, rather than as concentric circular grooves as specified in claim 1.

Although Brevogel suggests that the microgrooves may not necessarily be in the radial direction (Col. 7, lines 3-5), the microgrooves would nevertheless have to cross the macrogrooves to provide fluid communication among the macrogrooves. Therefore, the microgrooves cannot be concentric, whereas both the first plurality of grooves and the second plurality of grooves of Applicants' invention are substantially concentric, with one polishing region surrounding the other.

Claims 8 and 12 depend from claim 1 and are allowable at least for the reasons set forth above.

#### Rejection Under 35 USC 103

The Examiner rejected claims 9-11 and 13 under 35 USC 103(c) as unpatentable over Brevogel. Applicants respectfully traverses the rejection.

As explained above with respect to claim 1, Brevogel discloses a plurality of macrogrooves having the same width. Brevogel provides no motivation or suggestion for providing macrogrooves in different polishing regions with different widths or pitches.

Claims 9-11 and 13 depend from claim 1 and are allowable at least for the reasons set forth with respect to claim 1.

### Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has indicated that claims 4-7 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims from which they depend.

Claims 4 and 6 have been rewritten as independent claims. Claims 5 and 7 depend from claims 4 and 6, respectively. As indicated by the Examiner, these claims are allowable.

### New Claims

New claim 43 is directed to a polishing pad for polishing a substrate in a chemical mechanical polishing apparatus. The polishing pad includes a first polishing region having a first plurality of substantially circular concentric grooves with a first width and a first pitch. A second polishing region surrounds the first polishing region and has a second plurality of substantially circular concentric grooves with a second width and a second pitch, wherein the second pitch differs from the first pitch.

New claim 44 is directed to a polishing pad for polishing a substrate in a chemical mechanical polishing apparatus. The polishing pad includes a first polishing region having a first plurality of substantially circular concentric grooves with a first width and a first pitch. A second polishing region surrounds the first polishing region and has a second plurality of substantially circular concentric grooves with a second width and a second pitch, wherein the second width differs from the first width.

Breivogel does not teach or suggest a polishing pad with polishing regions that include substantially circular concentric grooves having different pitches or widths. Breivogel discloses a plurality of circular macrogrooves having the same pitch and width, as shown in Figures 1, 2, 4 and 6. Breivogel provides no motivation or suggestion for providing macrogrooves in different polishing regions with different pitches or widths.

Applicant submits that all of the claims are now in condition for allowance, which action is requested.

If prosecution can be advanced telephonically, for example, by discussion of the differences between the claimed invention and the references, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at 650-322-5070.

Please charge any fees due in connection with this response to Deposit Account  
No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 6/7/99

David G.  
David J. Goren  
Reg. No. 34,609

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
2200 Sand Hill Road, Suite 100  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Telephone: 650/322-5070  
Facsimile: 650/854-0875

Correspondence Address

Patent Counsel  
Applied Materials, Inc.  
Legal Affairs Department  
P.O. Box 450A  
Santa Clara, CA 95052

107950.PAL1